

**Question 1** (2 pts). Mark each statement true or false, and give a brief justification of your answer.

- (a) If an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is invertible, then its inverse can be written as a linear combination of  $I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}$ .

True. This follows from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. In particular it was shown on the homework that if  $A$  is invertible with characteristic polynomial  $(-1)^n t^n + a_{n-1} t^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  then  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $A^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a_0}((-1)^n A^{n-1} + a_{n-1} A^{n-2} + \dots + a_1 I)$ .

- (b) Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a vector space  $V$ . If every non-trivial  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  contains an eigenvector, then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

False. It was proven on the homework that this is true whenever the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits, and for this  $T$  need not be diagonalizable. Concretely, the linear map on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a counterexample.

**Question 2** (4pts). Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $T$  the linear operator on  $V = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  given by left multiplication by  $A$ , i.e.  $T(M) = AM$ . Find a basis for the  $T$ -cyclic subspace generated by the identity matrix  $I$ .

The  $T$ -cyclic subspace generated by  $I$  is

$$\text{Span}(I, T(I), T^2(I), \dots) = \text{Span}(I, A, A^2, \dots)$$

because  $T(I) = AI = A$ , and  $T^2(I) = A(AI) = A^2$ , etc. A basis is given by  $\{I, A\}$ . It is possible to see this by computing  $A^2$  and showing that it is a linear combination of  $A$  and  $I$ ; a faster way is to use the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, which says that  $\text{ch}_A(A) = 0$ , and since  $\text{ch}_A$  is a degree 2 polynomial, the equation  $\text{ch}_A(A) = 0$  shows that  $I, A, A^2$  are linearly dependent. More concretely, the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $(-t + 1)(-t + 4) - 6 = t^2 - 5t - 2$ , and thus

$$A^2 = 5A + 2I$$

gives  $A^2$  as a linear combination of  $A$  and  $I$ .

**Question 3** (4 pts). Let  $T$  be a diagonalizable linear operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space  $V$ . Show that if  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ , then  $T = 0$ .

Since  $T$  is diagonalizable, we can choose a basis  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors for  $T$ , say  $Tv_i = \lambda_i v_i$ . Combining this equation with the fact that  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$  for any  $x \in V$ , and using the linearity of the inner product in the first slot, we find

$$0 = \langle Tv_i, v_i \rangle = \langle \lambda_i v_i, v_i \rangle = \lambda_i \langle v_i, v_i \rangle.$$

One of the axioms of an inner product is that  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ ; since  $v_i \neq 0$  it must be that  $\lambda_i = 0$ . Since this is true for all  $\lambda_i$ , we see that  $Tv_i = 0$  for  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , and this implies  $T = 0$ .